The ISAF Council met at 14:30-17:30 hours on Thursday 12 November, at 09:30-18:00 hours on Friday 13 November and 09:30-13:30 hours on Saturday 14 November 2009 in the Capri room at the Paradise Hotel, Busan, Korea.

Present

Göran Petersson (SWE) President and Meeting Chairman
NAZLI IMRE (TUR) Vice-President
David Irish (USA) Vice-President
Teresa Lara (VEN) Vice-President
Teo Ping Low (SIN) Vice-President
13 and 14 November
Alberto Predieri (ITA) Vice-President
Eric Tulla (PUR) Treasurer (ex officio non voting)
David Kellett (AUS) Vice-President
Chris Atkins (GBR) Representatives of Group A - United Kingdom & Ireland
John Crebbin (IRL) Representatives of Group B - Central Europe

Tatjana Antoncic (SLO) Representatives of Group C - East Europe
Rolf Baehr (GER) Representatives of Group D - South Europe
Kamen Fillyov (BUL) Representatives of Group E - Iberian Peninsula
Representatives of Group F – Low Countries
Representatives of Group G - Scandinavia
George Andreadis (GRE) Representative of Group H - Euro-Asian
Bernard Bonneau (FRA) – alternate for Jean-Pierre Champion
Bruno Finzi (ITA) – alternate for Sergio Gaibisso
José Leandro (POR) - alternate for Gerardo Pombo

Patrick Bergmans (BEL) Representative of Group I – North Africa and Middle East
Kurt Lonnqvist (FIN) Representatives of Group J - East Asia
Stig Hvide Smith (NOR) Representative of Group K - South and Central Asia

Maximo Rivero-Kelly (ARG) Representative of Group M - South & West South America

Submissions Deferred from Previous Council Meetings
Submissions November 2009
Recommendations
Forthcoming ISAF Meetings
Any Other Business
1. Opening of the Meeting

(a) Welcome

The President opened the meeting and thanked the Korea Sailing Federation, Busan Metropolitan City Government and the Korean Olympic Committee for hosting the meeting in Busan, venue of the 1988 Olympic Sailing Regatta.

In addition to the “Green Recommendations” book circulated to Council containing the recommendations on all the submissions, the President explained that a copy of a letter referred to in submission 036-09 (Directors) would be given in to each member at
the end of the session, this should be treated in the strictest confidence and returned
the next day.

The President also explained that the paper referred to in agenda item 6 Governance
would not be circulated as further discussion was needed before a final paper could be
produced.

The following submissions had been withdrawn before the meeting and would not be
considered:

010-09   015-09   016-09   017-09   018-09
023-09 (Proposal 1) 029-09  030-09  032-09  035-09
107-09   124-09   131-09   141-09   142-09
143-09

The President outlined the order of proceedings, declarations of conflict of interest,
and rules of debate.

The Secretary General explained the voting procedure and confirmed that:

Thursday 12 November: 36 members of Council were present and entitled to vote
excluding the President who would exercise a casting vote only.

Friday 13 November, Saturday 14 November: 37 members of Council were present
entitled to vote excluding the President who would exercise a casting vote only.

(b) Attendees, Alternates and Apologies

Council noted the following apologies:

His Majesty King Harald of Norway, President of Honour
His Majesty King Constantine, President of Honour
Tomasz Holc, Vice-President
Teo-Ping Low, Vice-President - 12 November

Council noted the following alternates:

Bernard Bonneau for Jean-Pierre Champion – Group B
Bruno Finzi for Sergio Gaibisso – Group B
José Leandro for Gerardo Pombo – Group E
Oleg Ilyin for Alexander Kotenkov – Group H
Abraham Rosemberg for Harry Adler – Group N
Henry Menin for Andrés Santana – Group O

2. President’s Report

The President began by emphasising the significant impact of IOC decisions since Council
last met. Being part of the evaluation group gave the President considerable insight and
Brazil is to be congratulated on overcoming the financial and security concerns surrounding
their bid and being selected to host the Olympic Games in 2016 for the first time.

The IOC Congress which meets every 15 years gives direction to the entire Olympic
movement and met in tandem with the IOC Session in Copenhagen in October this year.
The key recommendations made by the IOC Congress must be studied and implemented in
order for sailing to continue to be one of the core Olympic sports. The IOC Congress
strongly advocates Athletes to be “included within the decision-making bodies of the Olympic
movement (ie the International Federations) through Athletes Commissions and
other positions that carry full voting rights (Recommendation 1). Ben Barger, Chairman of
the Athletes Commission was invited to sit at the table with the right to speak on any subject
he likes, but for the moment he will have no voting right. The full implementation of the
above mentioned IOC Congress session recommendation requires a change to the ISAF
Constitution and ISAF Regulations. The environment, the digital revolution, women’s participation, universality of our sport, demonstration of good structure and governance must all be compliant with IOC recommendations and an independent audit review is planned. Next year there will be a vote to reduce the number of core summer Olympic sports from 26 to 25 and the position of sailing must be secured. A brainstorming activity commenced in May on the ISAF Strategic Plan which continues to develop. The role of the Olympic Commission is crucial in our self appraisal in order to consider the greater picture of the development of our sport and therefore much depends on the Olympic Commission Report due in May 2010.

On behalf of Council, the Treasurer took the opportunity to congratulate the President and also His Royal Highness Crown Prince Frederik of Denmark on their recent election as International Olympic Committee Members.

3. Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Minutes can be downloaded at www.sailing.org/meetings

(a) Minutes
Council noted the minutes of the Council meeting of 9 May 2009.

(b) Minutes Matters Arising
There were no comments or matters arising from the previous minutes.

(c) Executive Committee Minutes
Council noted the minutes of the Executive Committee Meeting of 11, 12 and 13 September 2009.

4. Finance

(a) Management Accounts
The Secretary General presented the income and expenditure statement for the period 1 January to 30 September 2009.

(b) Budget 2010
The Secretary General presented the budget as proposed by the Executive Committee for 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2010. With regard to income Council noted the intention in future to separate income from race official fees and special event fees and also new income expected from new Technical Services and the ISAF Recognised Training Programme.

Bruno Finzi noted a discrepancy between the Column for Administration Budget 2009 and the Revised 2009 Budget as shown in the 2009 Management Accounts. The Secretary General acknowledged this error and informed Council that it would be corrected.

Decision

On a proposal from Ross Robson, seconded by Ajay Balram, Council unanimously approved the proposed budget for the period 1 January to 31 December 2009 (Appendix 1).

(c) Trust Report
The Treasurer presented an overview of the Long Term Reserves which are managed by Savoy Investment Management Limited. Conservative, low risk investments ensure low risk exposure and despite continued low interest rates performance has been
better than anticipated with an improvement in the value of trust assets to be noted. The Treasurer also reported on the Short Term Reserves and mentioned the problems experienced with the due diligence process owing to the number of Directors. This effectively delayed the opening of a better performing investment account by several months and as a result ISAF lost a significant amount of interest payments. Ajay Balram questioned the inclusion of the tobacco industry as an appropriate investment sector and the Treasurer confirmed that steps had already been taken to address this.

(d) Outstanding Subscriptions

Council noted updated unpaid Member National Authority (MNA) and Class Subscriptions as at 12 November 2009. Council noted that the non-payment of fees since 2003 by Micronesia is also a matter dealt with under item 9(b):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International 2.4 m Class Association</th>
<th>Class Subscription 2009</th>
<th>189.75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian Sailing Federation</td>
<td>Affiliate Subscription 2008-2009</td>
<td>330.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sailing Federation of Azerbaijan</td>
<td>MNA Subscription 2009</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federacion Nautica de Cuba</td>
<td>MNA Subscription 2009</td>
<td>602.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federated States of Micronesia</td>
<td>MNA Associate 2003-2009</td>
<td>231.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgian Sailing Federation</td>
<td>MNA Associate 2007-2009</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Sailing Association</td>
<td>MNA Subscription 2009</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam Sailing Federation</td>
<td>MNA Subscription 2009</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesian Sailing Federation</td>
<td>MNA Subscription 2009</td>
<td>602.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sailing Association Principality of Liechtenstein</td>
<td>MNA Subscription 2009</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federation Royale Marocaine de Yachting</td>
<td>MNA Subscription 2008</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro Sailing Federation</td>
<td>MNA Subscription 2009</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Racing Multihull Association</td>
<td>Class Subscription 2009</td>
<td>189.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonar Class Association</td>
<td>Class Subscription 2009</td>
<td>165.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 2,719.50

Council was also informed that actions are progressing to recover money owed from the Brazil Women’s Cup 2008 and Brazil Sailing Cup 2008.

(e) Subscriptions Fees 2010

The recommendation from the Executive Committee to increase ISAF Subscription fees for the period 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2010 by 5% was considered. The suggestion made by Bruno Finzi that fees could be stated in Euros rather than Sterling was noted.

**Decision**

On a proposal from John Crebbin, seconded by Bruno Finzi, Council unanimously approved the new ISAF Subscription fees for the period 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2010.

5. **ISAF Objectives and Strategy**

The Secretary General summarised the status of the Strategic Plan. The existing Strategic Plan had been updated with Council feedback from the meeting in May. Professional advice had been given by TSE consultants who had previously worked with the International Association of Athletics Federations and the International Archery Federation. Council is required to consider the draft Strategic Plan 2009 – 2012 for the final decision to be made in
May 2010. However, as the principal goals were already approved there will be no delay in working towards these as set out in the plan.

6. **ISAF Governance**

   (a) **Questionnaire**
      
      i) Vice-President Teo-Ping Low summarised the results of the questionnaires completed by Council members who attended the Mid-Year meeting.
      
      ii) An updated questionnaire was given to all Council members for completion. The findings will be presented at the next meeting of Council.

   (b) **Letter from ISAF’s Isle of Man Advisors**

      Council members who wanted to keep their copy of the confidential letter issued to them and relating to submission 036-09 were asked to inform the Secretary General.

7. **Activity Reports**

   (a) Council received verbal reports from Committee Chairmen on their Committee’s activities for the period 1 January 2009 through 31 October 2009.
      
      i) Audit Committee – Jan Dawson
      
      ii) Constitution Committee – Philip Tolhurst
      
      iii) Development and Youth Committee – No report received.
      
      iv) Equipment Committee – Dick Batt
      
      v) Events Committee – Chris Atkins
      
      vi) ISAF Classes Committee – Jeff Martin
      
      vii) Match Racing Committee – Henry Menin
      
      viii) Oceanic and Offshore Committee – Jacques Lehn
      
      ix) Race Officials Committee – Charley Cook
      
      x) Racing Rules Committee – David Tillett
      
      xi) Regional Games – Scott Perry
      
      xii) Windsurfing Committee – Kamen Fillyov

      Council noted that the Committee Chairmen reports will be the basis of the reports to be included in the 2009 ISAF Annual Report.

   (b) **World Sailing Speed Record Council (WSSRC)**

      A hard copy of the report was circulated around the table.

   (c) **Report on Radio Controlled Sailing (RSD)**

      A hard copy of the report was circulated around the table.

   (d) **Report from the International Association for Disabled Sailing (IFDS)**

      John Twomey gave a verbal presentation review of IFDS activity in 2009.

8. **International Sports Update**

   (a) **IOC Session – Olympic Sports Programme 2016**
The President gave an account of the IOC core sports voting system for the 2016 Olympic Programme.

(b) IOC Congress
The IOC Congress made 66 recommendations which are attached to these minutes as Appendix 2. In particular some key areas have already been mentioned. The IOC will study all the recommendations made by the Congress after which the ISAF President will report back to ISAF Council.

(c) ASOIF (Association of Summer Olympic International Federations)
The President will be attending the next ASOIF Council meeting which will take place directly after the ISAF Annual Conference. The President will also be attending the IOC meeting and the Annual General Meeting of ASOIF and SportAccord (formerly GAISF) in Dubai, April 2010.

9. ISAF Members

(a) Application for Affiliate Continental Membership
Council considered the application from the Oceania Sailing Federation to become an Affiliate Continental Member of ISAF. The Treasurer confirmed that the required amendment to the constitution to reflect an Annual General Assembly would be made.

Decision:
On a proposal from Maximo Rivero-Kelly, seconded by Ralph Roberts, Council approved the Oceania Sailing Federation as an Affiliate Continental Member of ISAF, subject to receiving the updated constitution (33 in favour, 1 against, 2 abstain).

(b) Associate Membership
Council considered the recommendation from the Executive Committee to cancel the Associate Member status of Micronesia based on failure to comply with membership obligations. Takao Otani confirmed that he had been unable to clarify their situation.

Decision:
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Henry Menin, Council approved the recommendation to cancel the Associate Member status of Micronesia (33 in favour, 2 against, 1 abstain).

10. 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition

(a) Format
The Chairman of the Events Committee presented the recommendation of the Events Committee on the format of the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition and specific details in the supporting paper were discussed.

Decision:
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Maximo Rivero-Kelly, Council approved the format of the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition as amended, confirming a single Round Robin for the Women’s Match Racing Event (36 in favour, 1 against, 0 abstain). Appendix 3.

(b) Qualification System
The Chairman of the Events Committee presented the recommendation from the Events Committee on the Qualification System for the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition, and specific details in the supporting paper were discussed.

**Decision:**

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by John Crebbin, Council approved the Qualification System for the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition following the amendment to the quota table recommended by the Events Committee (35 in favour, 1 against, 0 abstain – 36 votes). Appendix 4

**Decision:**

On a proposal from Jeff Martin, seconded by Ajay Balram, Council approved the allocation of boats/athletes by event following the amendment to the quota table recommended by the Events Committee (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain). Appendix 4.

*Council noted that although this is the ISAF recommendation, the IOC are the final arbiters and may amend our system up to April 2010.*

(c) Medal Race Redress

The Chairman of the Events Committee presented the recommendation from the Events Committee on Medal Race Redress at the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition, and specific details in the supporting paper were discussed.

**Decision:**

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Charley Cook, Council approved the Medal Race Redress policies for the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition with the addition that for the Olympic Medal Races there will be no Redress available except under RRS 62.1(c) – giving help (34 in favour, 3 against, 0 abstain).

11. IOC Youth Olympic Games 2010

Vice-President Teo-Ping Low confirmed that everything is now in place and the organisation has entered into operational phase. The sailing competition at the IOC Youth Olympic Games (YOG) 2010 will take place at the National Sailing Centre in Singapore 14-26 August 2010. The IOC support the event financially and it will be a very exciting, ground breaking event bringing sailing to our young sailors globally in an unique environment. The Youth Olympic Games (YOG) will focus as much on culture and education as on the sailing competition. The schedule is published and those present should be proactive and were encouraged to contact their NOCs to ensure as many entries from as many nations as possible within the guidelines set by the IOC.

12. ISAF Events

(a) ISAF Nations Cup 2009

The ISAF Technical Delegate Helmut Czasny gave a verbal report on the ISAF Nations Cup Grand Final 2009 held in Porto Alegre, Brazil 23 – 28 March 2009. The event was organised by Veleiros do Sul in conjunction with Confederacao Brasileira de Vela e Motor. There were 10 teams in the Open division and 9 teams in the Women’s division which represented 13 nations from five continents (Asia, Europe, North America, Oceania and South America). The event was a great success and conducted in an atmosphere of generosity and friendship.
Henry Menin added that the Grand Final was effectively the culmination of 8 successful regional events on 5 continents involving 60 teams and representing 39 MNAs that make this an attractive event.

(b) Volvo Youth Sailing ISAF World Championship, 9-18 July 2009, Buzios, Brazil

The ISAF Technical Delegate, Helmut Czasny gave a verbal report on the Volvo Youth Sailing ISAF World Championship which was held for the first time in South America, in Buzios, Brazil 9-18 July 2009. The event was organised by the Confederacao Brasileira de Vela e Motor (CBVM) in cooperation with the Sailing Federation of the State Rio de Janeiro and hosted at the Late Clube de Armacao de Buzios (ICAB).

300 sailors from 59 nations took part of which 24 sailors from 14 nations were supported by the ISAF Athletes Participation Programme. For the first time Santiago Lange was appointed as the World Youth Sailing Trust Coach to provide assistance to the competitors without a coach. In addition a CBVM coach assisted and this service proved very beneficial and popular with the sailors.

Vice-President Eric Tulla attested that it was well run event run with Olympic quality race officials. The support from Volvo must be recognised as this event could not be a world class event without it.

(c) ISAF Women’s Match Racing World Championship, 27 July-1 August 2009, Lysekil, Sweden

The ISAF Technical Delegate Helmut Czasny gave a verbal report on the 2009 ISAF Women’s Match Racing World Championship which took place in Lysekil, Sweden from 27 July to 1 August 2009. The championship was organised by the Lysekil Sailing Club Gullmar in conjunction with the Swedish Sailing Federation. Twelve teams representing six nations from three continents took part in the event. There were 30,000 spectators present during the course of the event and media coverage was well provided for with a huge screen set up so that racing could be easily followed ashore.

(d) ISAF Sailing World Cup

Vice-President Alberto Predieri gave a verbal report on the ISAF World Cup of Sailing and started by recognising what has been achieved over the past year. Judging by the level of entries for the first year following the Olympics, the event is clearly popular and valued by the athletes. There still remains a great deal to be done at a strategic level to enhance the experience for sailors and spectators alike. Branding, global distribution, multi media coverage, results delivery are all key areas to develop. Important steps are being made to resolve concerns over uniformity and ISAF is working in collaboration with the event organisers to ensure the best race official are appointed. The ISAF World Cup website offers opportunities for sponsors and partners without rivalling individual event websites and statistics show that this is popular. The Management group have worked quickly to address any concerns and the ISAF staff and event organisers have worked in harmony and embraced the spirit of the event.

(e) 2011 ISAF Sailing World Championships, Perth, Australia

The Treasurer gave a verbal progress report on the 2011 ISAF Sailing World Championships, Perth, Australia. It is very encouraging that the first camp of the Emerging Nations Programme, a new initiative for this Championship, which took place earlier this year, provided good training and revealed new talent from 2 young sailors from Asia who had never had an opportunity to sail outside their nation before. The organisation and logistics based around the heart of Freemantle are taking shape and
John Longley, the Chief Executive Officer of the Organising Committee, is to be congratulated on his efforts.

13. America’s Cup

The Treasurer reported on the status of the 33rd America’s Cup. Any change to the ISAF Racing Rules of Sailing will require approval from ISAF in accordance to Regulation 31.1.3 and the race officials will be appointed independently. In response to a question from George Andreadis regarding the ISAF and SNG Agreement, the Chairman of the Racing Rules Committee, David Tillett, confirmed that no approval for changes to the Racing Rules of Sailing under Rule 86.2 and Regulation 31.1.3 has been given by ISAF.

14. Submissions Deferred from Previous Council Meetings

Please refer to the 2009 Submissions Booklet for details of all submissions – also available online at www.sailing.org/meetings

(a) Submission 084-08 to 088-08 2016 Olympic Sailing Competition – Events

**Decision**

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Charley Cook, Council deferred submissions 084-08 to 088-08 to May 2010 pending consideration by the Olympic Commission in their report to the Events Committee in May 2010 (34 in favour, 1 against, 2 abstain). These submissions shall be considered once the report from the Olympic Commission has been received in May 2010.

(b) Submission 118-08 World Match Tour Invites

*Council noted that submission 118-08 was withdrawn*

(c) Submission 131-08

*Council noted that submission 131-08 was withdrawn.*

(d) Submission 146-08 Pumping The Sails

**Decision**

On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council approved submission 146-08 with the following amendment (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain):

- Rule 42.3 (c)

  (c) Except on a beat to windward, when surfing (rapidly accelerating down the leeward side of a wave) or planing is possible, the boat’s crew may pull in the sheet and the guy controlling any sail in order to initiate surfing or planing, but each sail may be pulled in only once for each wave or gust of wind.

(e) Submission 147-08 Racing Rules of Sailing – Rule 89

**Decision**

On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Jeff Martin, Council deferred submission 147-08 to the Annual Conference 2010 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

(f) Submission 151-08 Racing Rules of Sailing – New Case

**Decision**
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council rejected submission 151-08 (36 in favour of rejection, 1 against, 0 abstain)

15. Submissions November 2009

Please refer to the 2009 Submissions Booklet for details of all submissions – also available online at www.sailing.org/meetings

(a) Submissions for which the Executive Committee is the Reporting Committee

Submissions 001-09 – Continental Associations

Council noted that submission 001-09 was withdrawn but noted comments that ISAF should work to bring on board regional organisations currently operating outside the ISAF umbrella.

Submission 002-09 – Rules of Debate

Council noted that submission 002-09 was withdrawn.

Submission 003-09 – Match Racing Committee Terms of Reference

Decision:

On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Henry Menin, Council approved submission 003-09 (34 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain – 34 votes).

Submission 004-09 – Race Officials Committee

Decision:

On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Eric Tulla, Council approved submission 004-09 with the following amendment (34 in favour, 0 against, 2 abstain – 36 votes):

15.19.5 The Chairman of the Race Officials Committee may, for the purposes of communication and cooperation, appoint himself/herself or another member of the Committee or its Sub-committees as an ex-officio, non-voting member of the Racing Rules Committee. Such appointee shall, if not already a member of the Race Officials Committee, be an ex-officio, non-voting member of that committee.

Submission 005-09

Council noted that submission 005-09 was withdrawn.

Submission 006-09 – Kiteboarding – Windsurfing Committee

Decision:

On a proposal from Kamen Fillyov, seconded by Stig Hvide-Smith, Council approved submission 006-09 with the following amendment (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

15.27.1 The Windsurfing Committee and Kiteboarding Committee has been established by the Council on matters affecting the ISAF Windsurfing and Kiteboarding kiteboarding and windsurfing classes pursuant to Article 59.

15.27.2 The Windsurfing and Kiteboarding Committee shall consist of a Chairman, a Vice-Chairman and the number of members that shall be appointed by the Council from time to time as provided by Article 59.
Submission 007-09 – Rating Systems Sub-committee

Decision:
On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Maximo Rivero-Kelly, Council rejected submission 007-09 (5 in favour, 30 against, 2 abstain).

Submission 008-09 – Technical Delegate

Decision:
On a proposal, seconded by David Sprague, Council approved submission 008-09 (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain).

Submission 009-09 – The Olympic Commission

Decision:
On a proposal from Eric Tulla, seconded by Ross Robson, Council approved submission 009-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 010-09 – Payment to International Umpires

Council noted that submission 010-09 was withdrawn.

Submission 011-09 – ISAF Advertising Code

Decision Proposal 1:
On a proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council approved proposal 1 of submission 011-09 (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain).

Decision Proposal 2:
On a proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Bernard Bonneau, Council approved 20.6.5 only of Proposal 2 of submission 011-09 with the following amendment (27 in favour, 6 against, 4 abstain) but Council noted that existing contracts may be honoured.

20.6.5 In accordance with prior written consent from ISAF, at ISAF events and at the ISAF Sailing World Cup events, the bib, or its equivalent, provided to the competitors/boats in accordance to that Notice of Race shall be worn by the competitors as may be prescribed in the relevant sailing instructions

Submission 012-09 – ISAF Advertising Code – Kiteboards

Decision:
On proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Chris Atkins, Council approved submission 012-09 with the following amendment (34 in favour, 1 against, 2 abstain):

20.4.1.2 Sailboards and Kiteboards

(b) Kiteboards

25 % of the top and bottom surface of the hull kitboard are reserved for the advertising chosen and required to be displayed by the Organizing Authority of that event. Up to two sponsors' burgee or flag measuring a maximum of 150mm by 150mm (“sponsors kiteflags”) may be attached to the lines. The Organizing Authority may permit or require the display of Advertising chosen by it advertisement on a bib to
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be worn by the competitor, except on the space required by appendix G (Lycra numbers)

Submission 013-09 – ISAF Advertising Code – Classes and Rating Systems

Decision:
On proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Ajay Balram, Council approved submission 013-09 with the following amendment (30 in favour, 7 against, 0 abstain):

20.5 Classes & Rating Systems

20.5.8 The National Class Association of an ISAF Class shall not enter into a sponsorship contract without prior written consent approval of the International Class Association.

Submission 014-09 to 018-09
Council noted that submissions 014-09 to 018-09 were withdrawn.

Submission 019-09 – ISAF Classification Code Competitor Classification

Decision 1:
On proposal from David Irish, seconded by John Crebbin, Council approved submission 019-09 with the following amendment (35 in favour, 0 against, 2 abstain):

“Marine Business” should be clarified and “or” should be deleted from 22.2.2(b)(ii).

Decision 2:
On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by David Sprague, Council approved the implementation date of 1 April 2010 for submission 019-09 (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain).

Council noted that the FAQs should also be amended before April 2010.

Submission 020-09 – ISAF Classification Code Definitions

Decision:
On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Chris Atkins, Council approved submission 020-09 with an implementation date of 1 April 2010 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 021-09 – ISAF Classification Code Procedures

Decision:
On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Chris Atkins, Council approved submission 021-09 with an implementation date of 1 April 2010 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 022-09 – ISAF Classification Code General

Decision:
On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council approved submission 022-09 for immediate implementation with the following amendment (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain)
**ISAF SAILOR CLASSIFICATION CODE**

**General**

The display of **Competitor Advertising** advertising **chosen by the competitor** on a boat or equipment **beyond Category A** in accordance with the Advertising Code, set out in Regulation 20, even if **payment** is received for it, does not influence the sailor’s classification in this Code.

Nothing in the provisions of this Code shall permit a sailor to take any action which is contrary to the purpose and spirit of the Code or which is a subterfuge for circumventing the Code. When a sailor is found by the Classification Authority to be in breach of this provision it may change his classification as appropriate and/or make a Report under RRS 69 of the Racing Rules.

Submission 023-09 – ISAF Classification Code Definitions

Council noted that Proposal 1 of submission 023-09 was withdrawn.

**Decision:**

On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Jeff Martin, Council approved Proposals 2,3, and 4 of submission 023-09 for immediate implementation but with definitions effective from 1 January 2010 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 024-09 – ISAF Classification Code Procedures

**Decision:**

On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council approved submission 024-09 for immediate implementation but with definitions effective from 1 January 2010 (36 in favour, 1 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 025-09 – ISAF Classification Code

**Decision:**

On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Bruno Finzi, Council approved submission 025-09 for immediate implementation but with definitions effective from 1 January 2010 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 026-09 – ISAF Classification Code Protest Grounds and Procedure

**Decision:**

On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Stig Hvide-Smith, Council approved submission 026-09 for immediate implementation but with definitions effective from 1 January 2010 (36 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain – 36 votes)

Submission 027-09

**Decision:**

On a proposal from Eric Tulla, seconded by John Crebbin, Council approved submission 027-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 028-09 – Race Officials Administration

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Eric Tulla, seconded by Charley Cook, Council approved submission 028-09 with the following amendment (32 in favour, 4 against, 0 abstain):

That the policies and procedures regarding the recruitment, training, certification and supervision of race officials be removed from the regulations and be codified in policy and procedure documents to be adopted and published by the Race Officials Committee, under the supervision of the Executive Committee. In place of Regulations 33, 34 and 35 would be a single regulation delegating this responsibility to the Race Officials Committee.

The provisions in the regulations providing for a right of appeal by an aggrieved race official or applicant would be retained.

Submissions 029-09 and 030-09

Council noted that submissions 029-09 to 032-09 were withdrawn

Submission 031-09 – Training Facilities at Olympic Venues

Council noted that submission 031-09 was withdrawn but noted various expressions of concern for a practical solution to be found to improve the situation.

Submission 032-09 – Council members Group I

Council noted that submission 032-09 was withdrawn.

Submission 033-09 – ISAF Conferences and Meetings

Decision:

On a proposal from Tatjana Antoncic, seconded by Maximo Rivero-Kelly, Council Deferred submission 033-09 to November 2010 (19 in favour, 16 against, 1 abstain – 36 votes).

Submission 034-09

Council noted that submission 034-09 was withdrawn but noted the opinions of the:

Executive Committee

Reject but in favour of the principle of protecting the design characteristics(and in the Class Rules) of the ISAFClasses. The Executive would like to draw attention to Article 6.

Constitution Committee

Article 6 obliges the National Authorities to enforce the class rules.

Submission 035-09

Council noted that submission 035-09 was withdrawn

Submission 036-09 – ISAF Directors

Decision:

On a proposal from Maximo Rivero-Kelly, seconded by Charley Cook, Council approved submission 036-09 with the following amendment (31 in favour, 4 against, 2 abstain):

That the Articles and Regulations be amended to confirm that the Directors shall be the President and Vice-Presidents, Secretary General and Treasurer.
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That the Constitution Committee be directed to draft the necessary formal Resolutions to effect this change to the Constitution and the Regulations, and that such Resolutions be submitted to the next General Meeting.

Submission 037-09 – ISAF Regulations

Decision:
On a proposal from Eric Tulla, seconded by John Crebbin, Council approved Proposals 1, 2 and 3 of submission 037-09 only and noted that Proposals 4, 5 and Appendix 3 were withdrawn (35 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain – 36 votes).

(Submissions 038-09 to 056-09 Reserved)

(c) Submissions for which the Constitution Committee is the Reporting Committee

Submission 057-09 – Rating Systems

Decision:
On proposal from Eric Tulla, seconded by Maximo Rivero-Kelly, Council approved submission 057-09 with the following amendment (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain):

1.1 Member National Authorities, International Class Associations, International or Recognized Rating Systems, the Executive Committee, the Chairman of Committees established by the Council, the Chairman of the Women’s Forum and the President may make submissions.

28. ISAF INTERNATIONAL OR RECOGNIZED RATING SYSTEMS

Submission to the ISAF Council

28.4 Approved international and recognized rating systems may make submissions to the ISAF Council, in accordance with Regulation 1.

(Submissions 058-09-062-09 Reserved)

(d) Submissions for which the Development and Youth Committee is the Reporting Committee

Submission 063-09 – Windsurfing to be included in Connect to Sailing Programme

Decision:
On a proposal from Nazli Imre, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council approved submission 063-09 (36 in favour, 1 against, 0 abstain):

Submission 064-09 – RS:X Class Reach 4 the Top Training Programme

Decision:
On a proposal from Nazli Imre, seconded by Teresa Lara, Council rejected submission 064-09 (3 in favour, 33 against, 1 abstain).

Council noted that the matters raised in this submission would be referred to the Training Commission to consider the criteria for recognition.

(Submissions 065-09 to 069-09 Reserved)

(e) Submissions for which the Equipment Committee is the Reporting Committee
Submission 070-09 and 071-09 – High Performance 2 Person Women’s Trial were considered together.

**Decision:**
On a proposal, seconded by John Crebbin, Council deferred submissions 070-09 and 071-09 to November 2010 pending the report from the Olympic Commission (30 in favour, 6 against. 1 abstain).

Council noted the debate on these submissions and that a clear definition of “High Performance” was needed.

Submission 072-09 – Windsurfing Equipment Evaluation

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Kamen Fillyov, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council deferred submission 072-09 to November 2010 pending the report from the Olympic Commission (32 in favour, 3 against, 1 abstain – 36 votes).

Submission 073-09 – Kiteboarders – Obtaining Designation as an International or Recognised Class

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Stig Hvide-Smith, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council approved submission 073-09 with the following amendment (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain):

Delete duplicate column “Boats Worldwide”. The word ‘Kiteboarders’ should be added below the word Windsurfers in all sections of 26.2.1 e(ii) with the same values as the Windsurfers

Submission 074-09 - Obtaining Designation as an International or Recognised Class

**Decision:**
On proposal from Tomasz Chamera, seconded by George Andreadis, Council approved submission 074-09 with the following amendment (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain):

Reduce the Windsurfing distribution requirements from 50 to 20 boats per country and ensure the Kiteboarding requirements are the same

Submission 075-09 – Class Rule Changes and Interpretations

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Kamen Fillyov, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council approved submission 075-09 with the following amendment and subject to final approval of wording by the Constitution Committee (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain):

26.11 Unless otherwise provided in any agreement between ISAF and the Association, International and Recognized Class Associations shall not change their Rules except with the approval of ISAF which shall be obtained in accordance with the following procedures: International and Recognized Class Associations shall change their Class Rules in accordance with the following procedures unless otherwise approved by the
Equipment Committee ISAF and provided for in the agreement defined in Regulation 26.3.

26.11.9 Changes to manufacturing/building specification shall be handled as per Class Rule changes except that for equipment with a confidential building specification the change shall be handled by the ISAF Secretariat after consultation with the Chairman of the Class Rules Sub-committee (or if he is conflicted, another committee member) instead of the Class Rules Sub-committee.

26.12 When sanctioned in the Agreement, the class may issue written Rule interpretations [or Rule changes] following a procedure specified in the class rules and/or constitution to clarify class rules but International and Recognized Class Associations rule interpretations shall be made in accordance with the following procedures unless otherwise provided for in the agreement defined in Regulation 26.3. Any alternative procedure shall be approved by the ISAF Equipment Committee. Such interpretative changes shall not be used to change an existing rule. The class shall immediately advise ISAF of any interpretations issued.

(Submissions 076-09 to 080-09 Reserved)

(f) Submissions for which the Events Committee is the Reporting Committee

Submission 081-09 – Course Format

Decision:
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by David Sprague, Council deferred submission 081-09 to November 2010 (35 in favour, 2 against. 0 abstain).

Submission 082-09 – Tracking System, Electronic Control of the Starting Line and Course

Decision:
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Patrick Bergmans, Council deferred submission 082-09 to November 2010 (27 in favour, 8 against, 2 abstain)

Council recommended that the Technology Working Party work specifically on 2 areas; Tracking as part of the initiative to improve the presentation of the sport and Tracking Systems to improve race management and OCS decisions. An interim report to Council should be produced for May 2010.

Submission 083-09 – Selection of Windsurfing Equipment

Decision:
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by David Sprague, Council deferred submission 083-09 to May 2010 (34 in favour, 1 against, 2 abstain).

Submission 084-09 – Selection of Equipment for Olympic Sailing Competition 2016

Council noted that Jeff Martin and Takao Otani declared a conflict of interest and did not vote on this submission.

Decision:
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council rejected submission 084-09 (9 in favour, 25 against, 1 abstain – 35 votes).
Council noted the need for timely decisions on equipment to facilitate development but that it is more equitable for the decisions on all the equipment for the Olympic Sailing Competition to be made at the same time.

Submission 085-09 – ISAF Sailing World Cup

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council approved submission 085-09 (22 in favour, 15 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 086-09 – ISAF Events

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by David Sprague, Council deferred submission 086-09 to November 2010 (34 in favour, 3 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 087-09 – ISAF Sailing World Cup

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Maximo Rivero-Kelly, Council approved submission 087-09 with the following amendment (24 in favour, 12 against, 1 abstain):

ISAF Sailing World Cup Event Organisers shall use the RS:X Class approved Olympic racing format (number of races and the configuration of the course).

Submission 088-09 – Tracking System (SWC and Grade 1/2)

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by John Crebbin, Council deferred submission 088-09 to November 2010 (35 in favour, 2 against, 0 abstain).

Council noted the need for ISAF standards.

Submission 089-09 – ISAF Events Tracking Systems

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by David Sprague, Council deferred submission 089-09 to November 2010 (36 in favour, 1 against, 0 abstain).

Council noted the need for ISAF standards.

Submission 090-09 – ISAF Sailing World Championships

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Ross Robson, Council approved submission 090-09 (36 in favour, 1 against, 0 abstain). Council noted

Submission 091-09 – ISAF Sailing World Championship

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by David Sprague, Council deferred submission 091-09 to May 2010 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain) pending the Olympic Commission report.

Submission 092-09 – Participation and World Titles

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Stig Hvide-Smith, Council approved submission 092-09 (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain).

Submission 093-09 – Ranking List Olympic Women’s Match Racing
Council noted that submission 093-09 was withdrawn.

Submission 094-09 – Classes and Equipment for the Youth Olympic Sailing Competition

**Decision:**

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Bruno Finzi, Council deferred submission 094-09 to November 2010 (30 in favour, 6 against, 1 abstain).

Council noted that the Classes and Equipment for the Youth Olympic Sailing Competition is still subject to IOC directive.

(Submissions 095-09 to 100 Reserved)

(g) Submissions for which the Match Racing Committee is the Reporting Committee
Submission 101-09 – ISAF World Match Race Rankings

**Decision:**

On a proposal from Henry Menin, seconded by Charley Cook, Council approved submission 101-09 with the following amendment (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain):

Change the underlined sentence in 24.2.3(d) and 24.2.4.to "as produced by the Match Racing Committee jointly approved by the Race Officials Committee." Read last row in the table in 24.2.3 (d) to read: "Yes" for 1-O and 1-W and "Recommended" for 2-O and 2-W.

(Submissions 102-09 to 106-09 Reserved)

(h) Submissions for which the Oceanic and Offshore Committee is the Reporting Committee
Submission 107-09 – Role of Rating Systems in Oceanic and Offshore Committee

Council noted that submission 107-09 was withdrawn.

Submission 108-09 - World Championships
Submission 109-09 - Rating Systems Word Championships
Submission 110-09 – ORC Limited

It was agreed that a block vote would be taken for submissions 108-09, 109-09 and 110-09.

**Decision**

On a proposal from Jacques Lehn, seconded by Bruno Finzi, Council deferred submissions 108-09, 109-09 and 110-09 to November 2010 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain) subject to group being formed which would consider the matters raised.

Council noted that this group would meet in February 2010 and would include the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Oceanic and Offshore Committee, Executive Members David Irish, and David Kellett, 2 representatives from the ORC and 2 representatives from the IRC.

(Submissions 111-09 to 115 Reserved)
(i) Submissions for which the Race Officials Committee is the Reporting Committee

Submissions 116-09 – Publication of Race Officials Appointments

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council approved submission 116-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submissions 117-09 – Race Officials Administration

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Henry Menin, Council approved submission 117-09 with the following amendment (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain):

1. Correct the following typos: 33.11.2 delete "last" in row 4; 33.12 (f) delete "past" in row 1;
2. Insert a note in Regulation 33 regarding transition from deadline date of 1 September to 14 October.

Submission 118-09 – International Race Officials

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by David Tillett, Council approved submission 118-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 119-09 – International Judges

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Stig Hvide-Smith, Council approved submission 119-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 120-09 – International Umpires

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Chris Atkins, Council approved submission 120-09 (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain).

Submission 121-09 – International Umpires

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Stig Hvide-Smith, Council approved submission 121-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

*Vice-President Nazli Imre requested that this requirement should apply to all disciplines.*

Submission 122-09 – International Measurers

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by David Sprague, Council approved submission 122-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 123-09 – International Race Officer

*Council noted that submission 123-09 was withdrawn.*
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Submission 124-09
Council noted that submission 124-09 was withdrawn

Submission 125-09 – Race Officials Performance

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Ross Robson, Council approved submission 125-09 with the following amendment (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain):

34.4 Reports will be categorized as insignificant, unless they are in writing and made by; a race official, competitor, Technical Delegate, a representative of a Member National Authority or authorized member of the organizing authority or class association.

(Submission 126-09 to 130-09 Reserved)

(j) Submissions for which the Racing Rules Committee is the Reporting Committee

Submission 131-09 – Racing Rules of Sailing
Council noted that submission 131-09 was withdrawn.

Submission 132-09 – Racing Rules of Sailing

**Decision 1:**
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council approved submission 132-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain) and in so doing rejected submission 133-09.

**Decision 2:**
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by David Tillett, Council approved the implementation date of 1 January 2010 for submission 132-09.

Council agreed that the decisions on submissions 134-09 – 188-09 would be made in accordance with the recommendations from the Racing Rules Committees with the exception of 148-09, 153-09, 163-09 and 164-09 which were subject to individual vote:
Submissions 134-09 to 138-09

**Decision:**
Submission 134-09 thru 138-09 rejected.

Submission 139-09 - New Case Rule 62

**Decision:**
Approved with the following amendment:

(d) an action of a boat, or a member of her crew, that resulted in a penalty under rule 2 or a penalty or warning under rule 69.1(b).

Submission 140-09 – Rule 67

**Decision**
Approved.

Submission 141-09 – Rule 86.1(a)

Council noted that submissions 141-09 to 144-09 were withdrawn
Submission 145-09 – Rule 90

**Decision:**
Rejected.

Submission 146-09 – Rule A5

**Decision:**
Deferred to November 2010. Requires further discussion between the two submitting committees.

Submission 147-09 – Appendix C, Rule C2.1

**Decision:**
Approved.

Submission 148-09 – Urgent Change to Appendix C

**Decision 1:**
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Chris Atkins, Council approved submission 148-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

**Decision 2:**
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Charley Cook, Council approved the implementation date of 1 January 2010 for submission 148-09.

Submission 149-09

*Council noted that submission 149-09 was amalgamated with submission 148-09.*

Submission 150-09 – Appendix D, Rule D5.3

**Decision:**
Approved.

Submission 151-09 – Appendix G

**Decision:**
Rejected. Current wording is sufficient.

Submission 152-09 – Rule J2.2(35)

**Decision:**
Approved with the following amendment:

(35) when and where races postponed or abandoned for the day will be sailed;

Submission 153-09 – Appendices J, K and L.

**Decision 1:**
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council approved submission 153-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

**Decision 2:**
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council approved the implementation date of 1 January 2010 for submission 153-09.
Submission 154-09 – Appendix L, 16.2

Decision:
Approved with the following amendment:
“or the race committee signals no more racing today, whichever is the later.”

Submission 155-09 – Appendix L24

Decision:
Approved with the following amendment:
“Trash may be placed aboard support or official boats.”

Submission 156-09 – Appendix L, Instruction 11.1

Decision:
Rejected.

Submission 157-09 – Appendix L, New Instruction 12.3

Decision:
Rejected.


Decision:
Rejected.

Submission 159-09 – Protest Form – Protest Time Limit

Decision:
Approved.

Submission 160-09 – Race Office Signature

Decision:
Rejected. Unnecessary.

Submission 161-09 – Protest Form – Protest Number

Decision:
Approved with the following amendment:
Make the proposed change on each page.

Submission 162-09 – Definition Finish

Decision:
Rejected. It is often not possible to see the first part of the hull when a spinnaker is set.

Submission 163-09 – Definitions, Obstruction.

Decision 1:
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Maximo Rivero-Kelly, Council approved submission 163-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).
Decision 2:
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Chris Atkins, Council approved the implementation date of 1 January 2010 for submission 163-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 164-09 – Definition Party

Decision 1:
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Stig Hvide-Smith, Council approved submission 164-09 with the following amendment (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain):
Delete “protest committee” in penultimate line.

Decision 2:
On a proposal from Bernard Bonneau, seconded by Ajay Balram, Council approved the implementation date of 1 January 2010 for submission 164-09 (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

Submission 165-09 – Definition Proper Course.

Decision:
Deferred to November 2010.

Submission 166-09 – New Case

Decision:
Rejected.


Decision:
Deferred to November 2010.


Decision:
Approved with the following amendment:
(1) Delete the last sentence of answer 2.
(2) Reverse order of questions.
Submission 169-09 – New Case, Rule 66.

Decision:
Rejected.

Submission 170-09 – Case 112

Decision:
Rejected. Case112 is a correct interpretation of the current rules.

Submission 171-09 – The Call Book for Match Racing Call UMP 2

Decision:
Approve with the following amendment:

(1) Delete “Yes” at start of answer 2.
(2) Change answer 3 to: “No. See answer 2.”

Submission 172-09 - The Call Book for Match Racing, New Question Call UMP 18.

Decision:
Approved.

Submission 173-09 – Possible Consequential Change to Match Racing Call UMP 30.

Decision:
Approved.

Submission 174-09 - Possible Consequential Change to Match Racing Call UMP 34.

Decision:
Approved.

Submission 175-09 - Possible Consequential Change to Match Racing Call UMP 34.

Decision:
Approved.

Submission 176-09 - Call Book for Match Racing, New Call UMP 40.

Decision:
Approved.

Submission 177-09 - Call Book for Match Racing, New Call MR 40.

Decision:
Deferred to November 2010. Agree the principle but diagrams and text need editing.

Submission 178:09 - Call Book for Match Racing, New Call MR 41.

Decision:
Approved with the following amendment:

In the answer, paragraph 2 line 4, after “have sailed”, add “if she had not had an outstanding penalty”

Insert in the answer, a new second sentence in paragraph 2:
“From position 1 and throughout the incident, Blue is at the mark.”

Submission 179-09 - Call Book for Match Racing, New Call UMP 41.

Decision:
Approved.

Submission 180-09 - Call Book for Match Racing, New Call UMP 42.

Decision:
Approved.
Submission: 181-09 – The Call Book for Team Racing, New Call E9

**Decision:**
Deferred pending a decision on deferred submission 167-09

Submission 182-09 - The Call Book for Team Racing, New Call E10

**Decision:**
Approved with the following amendment:
Insert ‘X’ at the end of Question1, line 3.

Submission 183-09 - The Call Book for Team Racing, New Call E11

**Decision:**
Approved.

Submission 184-09 - The Call Book for Team Racing, New Call E12

**Decision:**
Approved with the following amendment:
The last paragraph of the answer to be in italics.

Submission 185-09 - The Call Book for Team Racing, New Call E13

**Decision:**
Approved.

Submission 186-09 - The Call Book for Team Racing, New Call J8

**Decision:**
Approved.

Submission 187-09 - The Call Book for Team Racing, New Call J9

**Decision:**
Rejected. Submission 132-09 approved for implementation 1 January 2009.

Submission 188-09 – Team Racing Call L4

**Decision:**
Approved with the following amendment:
Edit the diagram to show that, at position 1, B will make contact with A if she maintains her course.

(Submission 189-09 to 193-09 Reserved)

David Sprague raised the question whether submission 183-07 was passed with the required majority in accordance with Article 46(a)(ii) which determines that a two-third majority is necessary to approve a change in the Racing Rules of Sailing. The result of the approval of 183-07 was the removal of rule 17.2. The Chairman of the Constitution Committee was asked that the Constitution Committee would investigate this issue and report back to the Council.

16. **Recommendations**
(a) Recommendations from the Executive Committee
The Executive Committee made no recommendations not based on submissions.

(b) Recommendations from the Audit Committee
The Audit Committee made no recommendations not based on submissions.

(c) Recommendations from the Constitution Committee
The Constitution Committee made no recommendations not based on submissions.

(d) Recommendations from the Development and Youth Committee
The Development and Youth Committee made no recommendations not based on submissions.

(e) Recommendations from the Equipment Committee

On a proposal from Kurt Lonnqvist, seconded by George Andreadis, Council agreed to vote en bloc in accordance with the recommendation from the Equipment Committee on the following items (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi) and (vii) (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain):

i) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 4(b) - Applications for International Status - RS Tera Class
   
   **Recommendation:**
   
   Approve.

   **Decision:**
   
   Approved.

ii) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 4(c) - Applications for International Status - Maxi Class

   **Recommendation:**
   
   Defer: Maintain Provisional status until such time that they fully meet the requirements of ISAF International Status

   **Decision:**
   
   Deferred to November 2010.

iii) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 5(a) - Applications for Recognised Status - Laser Vago

   **Recommendation:**
   
   Approve subject to signing the ISAF Class agreement

   **Decision:**
   
   Approved subject to signing the ISAF Class agreement.

iv) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 5(b) - Applications for Recognised Status - RS 500

   **Recommendation:**
   
   Approve subject to signing the ISAF Class agreement.

   **Decision:**
   
   Approved subject to signing the ISAF Class agreement.
v) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 5(c) - Applications for Recognised Status - RC 44

**Recommendation:**
Approve subject to signing the ISAF Class agreement

**Decision:**
Approved subject to signing the ISAF Class agreement.

vi) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 5(d) - Applications for Recognised Status - Kona One Design

**Recommendation:**
Approve subject to signing the ISAF Class agreement

**Decision:**
Approved subject to signing the ISAF Class agreement.

vii) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 5(e) - Applications for Recognised Status - Class 40

**Recommendation:**
Defer. Maintain Provisional status until such time that the class rules are in an acceptable standard

**Decision:**
Deferred. Maintain Provisional status until such time that the class rules are in an acceptable standard

viii) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 6(a) - Review of ISAF Classes - Nacra F18

**Recommendation:**
Withdraw ISAF Class Status on the basis they no longer meet the requirements of Regulation 26

**Decision:**
On a proposal from Jeff Martin seconded by Tomasz Chamera, Council approved the decision to withdraw the ISAF Class Status of Nacra F18 (36 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstain).

ix) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 6(b) - Review of ISAF Classes - A-Class Catamaran

**Recommendation:**
Defer to November 2010. Continue working with the class to set up and implement the plaque system and monitor payment

x) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 6(c) - Review of ISAF Classes - Vaurien

**Recommendation:**
Defer to November 2010. Continue working with the class to set up and implement the plaque system and monitor payment

Council noted the recommendation from the Equipment Committee to defer items (ix) and (x).
xi) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No. 6(d) - Review of ISAF Classes - Micro
Council noted that item (xi) was withdrawn

(f) Recommendations from the Events Committee

i) Events Committee Agenda Item 7(b) - 2011 ISAF Sailing World Championships

Fleet Racing Quotas – As agreed in the 2011 ISAF Worlds Bid Guidelines

- Men’s Windsurfer RS:X 120
- Women’s Windsurfer RS:X 80
- Men’s One Person Dinghy Laser 150
- Women’s One Person Dinghy Laser Radial 120
- Men’s One Person Dinghy (Heavy) Finn 80
- Men’s Two Person Dinghy 470 120
- Women’s Two Person Dinghy 470 80
- Men’s Two Person Dinghy (High Performance) 100
- Men’s Keelboat Star 80

Fleet Racing Qualification Principles

- Every MNA gets one entry per Class / event.
- Second entry is based on the rankings from the second best competitor in the 2010 Class World Championships for all Classes except for the Star and 49er Class that will use their 2010 European Championships.
- Repeat for third, fourth, etc.
- The ISAF Sailor Rankings as of June 30 2011 will be used as a second list to qualify nations from the second best competitor, and so on.
- Maximum of 6 entries per MNA per Class
- ISAF will establish a Qualification System Commission (QSC) to implement this system. It will also have the option to allocate between 0-10% of the quota places independent of the above.

The Events Committee Format and Qualification Working Party will publish the Qualification System (QS) in December 2009. The draft QS will be circulated to the Events Committee & Olympic Classes Sub-committee for comment before publication. The QS Commission will be established in May 2010.

**Decision:**

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Tomasz Chamera, Council approved the qualification system for the 2011 ISAF Sailing World Championships (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

ii) Events Committee Agenda Item 7(b) - 2011 ISAF Sailing World Championships

**Recommendation:**

The Events Committee recommends the match racing qualification paper for the 2011 ISAF Worlds with the following amendments of the Match Racing Committee:

a) there should be no preliminary elimination round if the organisers can accommodate more entries;
b) the ISAF Women’s Match Race Rankings shall be used for the allocation of Additional Places for Perth 2011;

Decision:
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Henry Menin, Council approved the recommendation of the Events Committee on the match racing qualification paper for the 2011 ISAF Worlds as amended (37 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain).

iii) Events Committee Agenda Item 10(b) - Youth Championship Sub-committee

Recommendation:
The Events Committee approves the list of equipment recommended for 2012 Youth Worlds in Dun Laogharie, Ireland.
- Boy’s One Person Dinghy – Laser Radial
- Girl’s One Person Dinghy – Laser Radial
- Boy’s Two Person Dinghy – 420
- Girl’s Two Person Dinghy – 420
- Boy’s Windsurfer – RS:X with 8.5m² sail
- Girl’s Windsurfer – RS:X with 8.5m² sail
- Open Multihull – Sirena SL 16
- Open High Performance Dinghy – 29er

Decision
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Fiona Kidd, Council approved the recommendation of the Events Committee on the equipment for the 2012 Youth Worlds (36 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstain – 36 votes).

iv) Events Committee Agenda Item 10(b) - Youth Championship Sub-committee

Recommendation:
The Events Committee recommends Limassol, Cyprus for 2013 Youth Worlds, subject to satisfactory contractual arrangements and successful site visit.

Decision:
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by George Andreadis, Council approved the recommendation of the Events Committee to select Limassol, Cyprus for the venue of the 2013 Youth Worlds, subject to a successful site visit (31 in favour, 2 against. 4 abstain).

v) Events Committee Agenda Item 13(b) - Team Racing Sub-committee

Recommendation:
The Events Committee recommends the 'Under 21-Competition' to be converted into a 'Under 19-Competition'. This would align the age limit for the youth competition of the ISAF Team Racing Worlds with other ISAF events and would support the participation of competitors from youth classes such as the Optimist or 420.

vi) Events Committee Agenda Item 13(b) - Team Racing Sub-committee

Recommendation:
The Events Committee recommends to appoint Schull, Ireland, as host of the ISAF Team Racing World Championship 2011, subject to satisfactory contractual arrangements and successful site visit.
Decision:
On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by David Sprague, Council approved the recommendations of the Events Committee for the 'Under 21-Competition' to be converted into a 'Under 19-Competition' and for Schull, Ireland, to host of the ISAF Team Racing World Championship 2011, subject to satisfactory contractual arrangements and site visit (35 in favour, 0 against, 2 abstain).

(g) Recommendations from the ISAF Classes Committee
   i) ISAF Classes Committee Agenda Item No. 11 - Competition Rules in Class Equipment Rules
      The draft paper from the working party was supported in principle with the following amendment:
      That the competition rules shall always apply to World Championships without notification in the Notice of Race or Sailing Instructions
      Council noted the recommendation from the ISAF Classes Committee.

(h) Match Racing Committee
   i) Match Racing Committee Agenda Item No.8(a) - Match Racing Format for Olympic Sailing Competition and World Championship 2011
      Council noted that this item was covered under item 10(a).

(i) Recommendations from the Oceanic and Offshore Committee
   i) Oceanic and Offshore Committee Agenda item 8 – ISAF status applications for Offshore Classes.
      No Offshore Class should be granted ISAF status without full prior consultation with the Oceanic and Offshore Committee.
      Council noted the recommendation from the Oceanic and Offshore Committee and the intention for a submission to be put forward in November 2010.
   ii) Oceanic and Offshore Committee Agenda item 8(a) – Maxi Class ISAF Status.
      Council noted that the Chairman of the Oceanic and Offshore Committee confirmed that this matter had been dealt with.

(j) Recommendations from Race Officials Committee
   Council noted the recommendations from the Race Officials Committee.

(k) Recommendations from the Racing Rules Committee
The Racing Rules Committee made no recommendations not based on submissions.

(l) Recommendations from the Regional Games Committee
The Regional Games Committee made no recommendations not based on submissions.

(m) Recommendations from the Windsurfing Committee
   i) Windsurfing Committee Agenda Item No. 11
      The Windsurfing Committee supports the Kona One Design Class application for ISAF Recognised Status.
      Council noted that this item had been dealt with.

(n) Recommendations from the Women’s Forum
The Women’s Forum made no recommendations not based on submissions.

17. Forthcoming ISAF Meetings

(a) 2010 ISAF Mid-Year Conference

The Secretary General confirmed that the ISAF Mid-Year Conference 2010 will take place 6 – 9 May 2010 and the venue would be published before 1 January 2010.

(b) 2010 ISAF Annual Conference Athens, Greece – 4-15 November 2010

Vice-President Teo-Ping Low made a request for the ISAF Annual Conference to be brought forward one week to avoid the clash with the 16th Asian Games.

Other Council members mentioned significant events that clash if the ISAF Annual Conference were changed. The Secretary General pointed out that the dates for ISAF Annual Conference do not change much from one year to another and is therefore a “fixed event” that everyone is aware of. It is regrettable but inevitable that Conference dates clash with other events but this cannot be helped. With regard to the dates for the Conference in 2010 in particular, the contract with the venue hotel has already been signed and financial commitments made.

18. Any Other Business

On behalf of the International Sailing Federation, the President expressed thanks to the Korea Sailing Federation for their warmth and hospitality throughout the Conference and presented gifts of appreciation to the Executive Director of the Korea Sailing Federation, Pilsung Lee and also to Joon Bang and Jinyoung Hong.

There being no further business the meeting closed.
### Appendix 1

#### BUDGET 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/08</th>
<th>2009 BUDGET</th>
<th>2010 DRAFT BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Income</td>
<td>920,784</td>
<td>713,000</td>
<td>826,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Income</strong></td>
<td>920,784</td>
<td>713,000</td>
<td>826,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct costs</td>
<td>846,296</td>
<td>771,000</td>
<td>875,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympics</td>
<td>299,387</td>
<td>117,000</td>
<td>165,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>1,083,804</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,036,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Expenditure</strong></td>
<td>2,229,487</td>
<td>1,888,000</td>
<td>2,076,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational Deficit</strong></td>
<td>(1,308,703)</td>
<td>(1,175,000)</td>
<td>(1,250,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME</td>
<td>ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/08</td>
<td>2009 BUDGET</td>
<td>2010 DRAFT BUDGET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNA and Associate Member Subscriptions</td>
<td>£197,092</td>
<td>£200,000</td>
<td>£200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliated Member Subscriptions</td>
<td>£1,335</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA Subscriptions</td>
<td>£15,015</td>
<td>£15,000</td>
<td>£15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Class Application Fees</td>
<td>£4,750</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensed Builder Fees</td>
<td>£8,127</td>
<td>£15,000</td>
<td>£15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In House Certification</td>
<td>£500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>£5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees on New Boats Built</td>
<td>£218,273</td>
<td>£190,000</td>
<td>£190,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic Solidarity</td>
<td>£553</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>£3,255</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical specifications</td>
<td>£4,189</td>
<td>£5,000</td>
<td>£5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAF Merchandise</td>
<td>£25,852</td>
<td>£10,000</td>
<td>£10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umpire and Judges Payments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>£50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Events &amp; Approval Fees</td>
<td>£127,199</td>
<td>£120,000</td>
<td>£140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Events Anti Doping</td>
<td>£30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsorship</td>
<td>£143,816</td>
<td>£145,000</td>
<td>£160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITO Travel Olympics</td>
<td>£78,732</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Sailor of the Year</td>
<td>£16,457</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright Fees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>£2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising Income</td>
<td>£28,000</td>
<td>£5,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Services Income</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>£5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC Development Programme</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>£15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAF Recognised Training Programme</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>£10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income received</td>
<td>£17,639</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL INCOME</strong></td>
<td><strong>£920,784</strong></td>
<td><strong>£713,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>£826,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDITURE</td>
<td>ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/08</td>
<td>2009 BUDGET</td>
<td>2010 DRAFT BUDGET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct costs</td>
<td>£</td>
<td>£</td>
<td>£</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAF Meetings</td>
<td>189,537</td>
<td>170,000</td>
<td>175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee Meetings</td>
<td>49,033</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAF Review Board</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Expenses</td>
<td>24,286</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committees’ Activities</td>
<td>35,420</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Cup</td>
<td>18,848</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race Officials Programme</td>
<td>60,283</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletes Participation Programme</td>
<td>16,291</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umpires/Judges Payments</td>
<td>79,845</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAF Events</td>
<td>78,540</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Events Anti Doping</td>
<td>12,829</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Sailor of the Year</td>
<td>17,068</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Events</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing/Media &amp; Awards Presentations</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connect to Sailing</td>
<td>33,725</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website &amp; IT Development</td>
<td>30,899</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>49,130</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Fees - Class &amp; Designer Share</td>
<td>45,222</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td>58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaques Purchased</td>
<td>1,546</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Specifications/In House Certification</td>
<td>5,125</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic Solidarity</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAF Goods</td>
<td>40,934</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic DVD</td>
<td>25,401</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>15,469</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International subscription fees</td>
<td>2,946</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad debts</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Fees Sport</td>
<td>12,921</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAF Recognised Training Programme</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC Development Programme</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Direct costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>846,296</strong></td>
<td><strong>771,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>875,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDITURE</td>
<td>ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/08</td>
<td>2009 BUDGET</td>
<td>2010 DRAFT BUDGET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Olympics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Salaries</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC Meetings</td>
<td>9,015</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic 2012</td>
<td>79,900</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Olympics</td>
<td>1,693</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Olympics 2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITO Travel Olympics</td>
<td>77,332</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti Doping Program</td>
<td>36,447</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Olympics</strong></td>
<td>299,387</td>
<td>117,000</td>
<td>165,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENDITURE</th>
<th>ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/08</th>
<th>2009 BUDGET</th>
<th>2010 DRAFT BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Administration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>666,026</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>570,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment costs</td>
<td>153,279</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other staff costs</td>
<td>8,056</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office rent &amp; rates</td>
<td>102,730</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office running costs (inc. heat, light &amp; power)</td>
<td>24,404</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment rental &amp; renewals</td>
<td>22,393</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travelling</td>
<td>8,024</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>12,346</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing &amp; stationery</td>
<td>10,947</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>17,399</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal &amp; professional fees</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit &amp; accountancy fees</td>
<td>18,852</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank charges &amp; interest</td>
<td>20,794</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>15,934</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Administration</strong></td>
<td>1,083,804</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,036,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE OLYMPIC MOVEMENT IN SOCIETY

Copenhagen, October 5, 2009

Original version in English
Mission statement

The mission of the XIII Olympic Congress is to review the status of the Olympic Movement in today’s evolving global society, by gathering information and seeking opinions on its future and to provide guidance, proposals and recommendations to all constituents and other stakeholders of the Olympic Movement for its promotion and harmonious development within the general community.

Introduction

The XIII Olympic Congress (“The Congress”) was held from October 3 to October 5 (5), 2009 in Copenhagen, in accordance with Rule 4 of the Olympic Charter. The Congress was chaired by the President of the International Olympic Committee (IOC) Jacques Rogge. The Congress was attended by 1’249 participants and observers, including IOC members and honorary members, presidents, secretary generals and other representatives of International Federations (IFs) and National Olympic Committees (NOCs), athletes, coaches, judges, referees, technical delegates, officials, delegates of the organizing committees of the 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2016 Olympic Games, representatives of applicant cities to host future Olympic Games, representatives of the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA), of the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS), as well as representatives of a number of International governmental and nongovernmental organisations, representatives of the public and observers. Representatives of the media were also in attendance.

The Congress was opened in the presence of the Secretary General of the United Nations, Ban Ki-moon and the President of Timor-Leste, Nobel Laureate, José Ramos-Horta.

The methodology of the Congress included a most important preliminary phase, the Virtual Olympic Congress, which provided a unique opportunity, from October 2007 to February 2009, to call for and receive valuable and inspiring contributions, both from representatives of the Olympic Movement and also from the public at large. These contributions have been published for their consideration by the Congress.
The Congress considered the following themes and subthemes:

Theme 1: The Athlete
  1.1. The relationship between the athletes, the clubs, the federations and the NOCs
  1.2. Health protection in training and competition
  1.3. The social and professional life of athletes during and after elite competition

Theme 2: The Olympic Games
  2.1 How to keep the Games a premier event
  2.2. Olympic values
  2.3. Universality and developing countries

Theme 3: The Structure of the Olympic Movement
  3.1. The autonomy of the Olympic Movement
  3.2. Good governance and ethics
  3.3. The relationships between the Olympic Movement and the stakeholders

Theme 4: Olympism and Youth
  4.1. Moving towards an active society
  4.2. Is competitive sport still appealing
  4.3. Youth sports events

Theme 5: The digital revolution
  5.1. A new management of sports rights
  5.2. How to increase the size of the sport audience
  5.3. Communication with stakeholders in the digital age

This document, which recalls the fundamental principles of Olympism as set out in the Olympic Charter, expresses the values and aspirations of the Olympic Movement; it includes the recommendations of the Congress to all constituents of the Olympic Movement, in particular the IOC, the IFs and NOCs, for their consideration.
Throughout the deliberations of the Congress, as a result of the contributions of the participants, it became clear that in most cases, the recommendations should not be restricted to one single sub-theme. A number of forceful and inspiring proposals transcend the specific topics discussed and call for a consolidated approach in establishing the Congress’ recommendations and conclusions. These will be articulated under the headlines of the themes, namely:

1. The Athlete
2. The Olympic Games
3. The Structure of the Olympic Movement
4. Olympism and Youth
5. The digital revolution

The Virtual Olympic Congress proved a great success, resulting in 453 contributions from the Olympic Family and 1319 contributions from the public. The ideas, proposals and reflections contained therein have been recorded and taken into consideration in the formulation of these recommendations. Furthermore, working groups and commissions will be appointed with a mandate to analyse and address all the recommendations received from both the Virtual Olympic Congress and the Congress itself.
Fundamental Principles of Olympism

1. Olympism is a philosophy of life, exalting and combining in a balanced whole the qualities of body, will and mind. Blending sport with culture and education, Olympism seeks to create a way of life based on the joy of effort, the educational value of good example and respect for universal fundamental ethical principles.

2. The goal of Olympism is to place sport at the service of the harmonious development of man, with a view to promoting a peaceful society concerned with the preservation of human dignity.

3. The Olympic Movement is the concerted, organised, universal and permanent action, carried out under the supreme authority of the IOC, of all individuals, and entities who are inspired by the values of Olympism. It covers the five continents. It reaches its peak with the bringing together of the world’s athletes at the great sports festival, the Olympic Games. Its symbol is five interlaced rings.

4. The practice of sport is a human right. Every individual must have the possibility of practising sport, without discrimination of any kind and in the Olympic spirit, which requires mutual understanding with a spirit of friendship, solidarity and fair play. The organisation, administration and management of sport must be controlled by independent sports organisations.

5. Any form of discrimination with regard to a country or a person on grounds of race, religion, politics, gender or otherwise is incompatible with belonging to the Olympic Movement.

6. Belonging to the Olympic Movement requires compliance with the Olympic Charter and recognition by the IOC.
THE RECOMMENDATIONS
1: The Athlete

All athletes are at the heart of the Olympic Movement. They are supported by extensive structures which include, in particular, local clubs, National and International Federations and National Olympic Committees. As role models in society athletes are able to make a major contribution to the Olympic Movement both by raising the profile of sport and recreation across communities and by becoming standard bearers for future generations. Athletes should be encouraged to play an integral part in the organisation and development of sport throughout the twenty first century.

1. Athletes must be included within the decision-making bodies of the Olympic Movement through Athletes’ Commissions and other positions that carry full voting rights.

2. All constituents of the Olympic Movement should ensure that all athletes can compete on a level playing field through impeccable standards of judging and refereeing, rules and norms of the highest order; and the absolute ethical integrity of judges and referees. These objectives should be combined with effective and impartial implementation of technical standards and equipment and equal access for all athletes to a high standard of sports equipment.

3. The fight against doping is an absolute priority for the entire Olympic Movement. While all constituents of the Olympic Movement should relentlessly participate in the fight against doping and should embrace the concept of zero tolerance, equally the athletes and their representatives should actively contribute to ensuring that cheating has no place in Olympic sport and that the utmost importance is attached to the pursuit of the Olympic principle of fair play.

4. Protecting the physical and psychological health of all athletes must be a major priority for the entire Olympic Movement. Attention must be given to the specific needs of athletes with a disability. In support of these objectives the IOC and the other constituents of the Olympic Movement should implement educational programmes providing information on training methods, gender specific health protection and injury prevention. Such programmes should be based on IOC Medical Guidelines and be widely disseminated at the Olympic Games, the Youth Olympic Games and other major international competitions. Proactive information programmes should be organised on a nationwide, sport-by-sport basis through the national and international sporting bodies, the National Olympic Committees, schools and other educational institutions.
5. All constituents and other stakeholders of the Olympic Movement should take into account the current trends of overloading training and competition schedules and calendar, which can be detrimental to athletes, in particular junior athletes, from the perspective of performance, health and commitment; and should take appropriate measures to prevent this escalation where necessary.

6. All involved with the Olympic Movement should develop and implement a standard code of conduct and certification system in order to protect the rights of athletes towards agents, managers and sponsors. This system should also provide athletes with the tools and education to manage these relationships effectively and to share and exchange models of best practice.

7. All constituents of the Olympic Movement should endorse the importance of combining education and sport. Priority should be given to the development of programmes aimed at building athletes’ lifetime skills. The Olympic Movement in collaboration with parents, coaches and members of the athletes’ entourage should encourage and promote the participation of athletes in their career programmes, during their competitive years as well as after their retirement from high-level competition. This will enable them to combine education and sport seamlessly through dual careers.

8. Both within and outside the context of sport, sexual harassment and abuse of all kinds, including child abuse, have unacceptable implications for men, women and children. Sporting organisations, with the help of the public authorities and leaders of the Olympic Movement, should take appropriate measures to combat all forms of harassment through education and establish procedures to address harassment and grievance resolution.

9. High priority should be given to developing user-friendly and accessible channels for all athletes and all athlete organisations to disseminate and share information on a regular basis.

10. Athletes from across the globe and from all sports should have access to an appropriate level of basic legal advice and guidance throughout their sporting careers. Stakeholders of the Olympic Movement should, at their cost, identify policies and procedures to achieve this objective.

11. Consideration should be given to the identification of ‘athlete-friendly’ structures, including schools, universities, technical institutes, public institutions, armed forces and corporate sponsors, with whom sport and educational partnerships can be established. The IOC should consider acting as an exchange and clearing house to facilitate the development, coordination and implementation of such programmes designed to support athletes’ lives in the world beyond competitive sport, at which time the
provision of career, educational, psychological and social support may be vital.

12. All constituents of the Olympic Movement should seek to enhance the provision of qualified and competent coaching and training available to all athletes. Programmes to disseminate best practice and to ensure that the opinions of coaches are taken into account should be implemented.

13. The establishment of an IOC Commission in charge of matters relating to coaches, trainers and the athletes’ entourage is recommended.

14. The Olympic Movement reaffirms its strong opposition to the trading of nationalities and passports, which abuses the spirit of competition inherent in the world of sport.
2: The Olympic Games

While the Olympic Games are universally recognised as a unique and special experience, guaranteed to bequeath a rich legacy of unforgettable memories to all participants and spectators, it is essential that the IOC makes every effort to ensure that they retain their status as a premier event. This will allow the Fundamental Principles and values of Olympism, which the Olympic Games so supremely epitomise, to be embraced and promoted to the full.

15. The importance of agreeing and adopting a definition of the universality of sport is a priority. The principle of universality not only encompasses access to competitions, sporting infrastructure and the organisation of high level sports events, but has a far broader significance. At its very core, it means open access to sport for all peoples and all cultures, from grassroots to Olympic level, and to all the benefits and opportunities which sport provides. This principle should inspire the work of the entire Olympic Movement.

16. All involved with the Olympic Movement must take into account the fact that whilst attitudes and behaviours may be shifting, the Fundamental Principles of Olympism must remain at the core of the Games. The Olympic Movement should be based on respect, responsibility and reliability. The Olympic Movement must ensure that the Olympic Games uphold Olympic values, respond to the young generation of athletes, enhance gender equality and equal opportunities.

17. The Olympic Village should continue to be at the heart of the Olympic Games, given the unique and invaluable experience which it provides to athletes. It should be of a standing commensurate with the needs of the world’s leading athletes and should form a core of their Olympic experience.

18. High priority should be given to the advancement of women both in sport and through sport. The Olympic Movement should at all times seek to promote equal opportunities for women, both in their participation in sports competition and in administration and coaching. Wherever necessary, the Olympic Movement should identify and implement changes to achieve gender equality, and should also provide incentives and appropriate educational and training programmes for athletes, sports leaders and administrators in support of this goal.

19. The Olympic Movement fully embraces the importance of embedding the key values of environmental protection, development and sustainability within
the Olympic ideals. As part of this commitment, all members of the Olympic Family should facilitate the delivery of a lasting sporting, environmental, and social legacy and the IOC should accelerate the integration of sustainability principles in the hosting of the Olympic Games, which will also help to safeguard their status as a premier event.

20. Although the process of evaluating sports and disciplines for inclusion in the Olympic Games’ programme has substantially evolved in recent years, it is essential that periodic reviews conducted by the IOC be maintained, so that the promotion and relegation of sports may be subject to regular appraisal. More research is needed to understand the contribution made by the sports and disciplines within the Olympic Programme to the overall value of the Games.

21. The IOC review process should also include surveys and research studies where appropriate to evaluate the full impact of each Olympic Games on the host city, the host nation and to provide an ongoing assessment of the level of appeal and attractiveness of the Games in a fast-moving global market place.

22. Members of the Olympic Movement should regularly review the qualification systems for each sport and discipline in order to achieve a fair balance between the imperative of securing the participation of the world’s best athletes at the Olympic Games and the highest level of fairness required to respect the principle of universality.

23. With the cooperation of the constituents of the Olympic Movement, the appropriate levels of service at the Olympic Games should be carefully reviewed by the IOC. In that context, it will be useful to benchmark the Olympic Games with other major events. The IOC should also determine whether it should take greater ownership of the delivery of key goods and services in order to improve the quality of delivery of the Games.

24. While fully maintaining their autonomy, the constituents of the Olympic Movement should take into account the role and the opinions of the stakeholders, including sponsors, partners, suppliers and rights holders.

25. The control of the size, cost and complexity of the Olympic Games should be such that they remain a premier event, while facilitating more cities to bid successfully for the Games.

26. The IOC should consider the establishment of a set of minimum requirements which prospective cities bidding for the Games would need to meet before being considered for the Candidature phase.
3: The Structure of the Olympic Movement

The Olympic Movement is founded on the concept of the autonomy and good governance of sport, which recognises and respects our individuality and achieves unity through diversity. Sport is a force for good and is a unique and indispensable tool for sustainable development, as well as a means to promote peace, culture and education. Sport holds a mirror to society, through which the Olympic Movement’s commitment to pursue policies which promote the universal language of sport must be clearly reflected. An understanding of the global nature of sport must underpin the future structure of the Olympic Movement, thereby positioning it to integrate successful development strategies and educational initiatives within its core activities. This will enable the Olympic Movement to move closer to its ultimate goal of a healthier, more equal and more tolerant society, freed from prejudice and division, and un tarnished by discrimination and injustice.

27. A definition of the autonomy of sport reflecting the principles of respect, responsibility and reliability should be adopted by all within the Olympic Movement. This will further its goals by enhancing the tools of leadership and guidance available to it. It is proposed that a Committee be established to consider the recommendations from Congress and from the IOC Seminars on the Autonomy of the Olympic Movement, to monitor all developments affecting the autonomy of the Olympic Movement, including the establishment of a permanent information exchange network.

28. The Olympic Movement reaffirms the need for unity and close co-operation amongst all its constituents to ensure that the goal of autonomy in sport is achieved, under the leadership of the IOC.

29. The relevant intergovernmental organisations and governments should acknowledge the necessary and essential autonomy of the Olympic Movement including, in particular, respect for and enforcement of the rules of good governance, equality and fairness in sport and sport administration, as established by the Olympic Movement and set out in the Olympic Charter, to ensure the best and fairest possible practice of sport.

30. The preservation of human dignity is a fundamental tenet of the Olympic Movement. All members of the Olympic Movement should work together in pursuit of the harmonious development of men and women in order to
promote through sport a peaceful society based on the most fundamental common principles and values inherent in a civilised society.

31. All governments should provide their ongoing and continuous support both in terms of legislation and resources, to the fight against doping, working together with the World Anti-Doping Agency.

32. Governments should recognise that close collaboration and action in the fight to put an end to illegal and irregular betting and match-fixing is essential, both in relation to Olympic-accredited events and to the wider world of sport competition.

33. Appropriate institutionalised forms of mutually beneficial cooperation and partnerships should be developed between governments and the members of the Olympic Movement in areas which should include: the development and the encouragement of participation in sport for all; the organisation of competitive sports events for young people throughout the world; health protection for young people and athletes; the fight against doping; and support for athletes reaching the end of their careers in competitive sport and transitioning to a lifetime away from the podium.

34. The IOC should develop all opportunities to liaise with the IFs, the NOCs, the recognised IFs and all other recognised organisations in order to achieve a global, universal and harmonised approach, by the Olympic Movement to its major issues and concerns. The IOC should also actively support the members of the Olympic Movement, in particular the NOCs and the IFs, wherever and whenever their autonomy is threatened.

35. All constituents of the Olympic Movement should review their rules and activities to ensure that they fully comply with the Olympic Charter and the fundamental principles and values of Olympism.

36. The Olympic Movement should engage in the widest possible way with international institutions to support and promote the delivery of the UN Millennium Goals and further such initiatives. The Olympic Movement is equally committed to the protection of the global environment and to forging closer relationships with the United Nations (UN) and all other institutions to respond to this moral imperative, particularly with regard to the key issue of climate change.

37. In accordance with the principles and values of Olympism, the practice of sport must be run by independent, autonomous sport organisations, which are in full compliance with applicable laws. Co-operation between governments and institutions of the Olympic Movement in every area where it may be mutually beneficial should underlie the relationship between sport
and state bodies, so that the autonomy of the Olympic Movement is fully respected by governments.

38. The relationships between the Olympic Movement, public bodies and governments, as well as those between all national organisations belonging to the Olympic Movement and their respective governments, should be based on the principle of respect for applicable law by all constituents of the Olympic Movement, while at the same time seeking to influence public policy makers wherever possible to ensure that national and supra-national laws and regulations are consistent with the fundamental principles of Olympism.

39. The relationship between the IOC and the International Paralympic Committee (IPC) should be pursued to the benefit of all areas of mutual interests. Furthermore, cooperation with the International Committee of Sports for the Deaf and Special Olympics is encouraged.

40. In recognition of the enormous momentum for change in society, the Olympic Movement, while firmly rooted in its fundamental values and vision, should reach out and consider developing new forms of co-operation with other organisations outside the Olympic Movement in a spirit of mutual respect. In so doing it should focus on areas of collaboration such as medical and scientific research, education and academia, sustainable development; and social and humanitarian goals.

41. The legitimacy and autonomy of the Olympic Movement depends on upholding the highest standards of ethical behaviour and good governance. All members of the Olympic Movement should adopt, as their minimum standard, the Basic Universal Principles of Good Governance of the Olympic Movement, as proposed by the IOC. All members of the Olympic Movement must always demonstrate integrity, accountability and transparency, as well as the highest level of management skills; and they must ensure that at all times their legal status is both fully consistent with their activities and responsibilities and wholly compliant with the laws of the land (applicable laws).

42. All members of the Olympic Movement should keep annual accounts in accordance with acknowledged standards of accounting; ensure they have an independent audit or verification of their accounts; adopt rules, norms and practices under which those who cannot comply with good governance may lose financial support or be sanctioned; adopt and implement a code of ethics based on the principles and rules of the IOC Code of Ethics; and always seek to protect and promote the interests of the athletes they represent.
43. Transparent and enhanced dispute resolution mechanisms must be in place in all sports organisations, at all levels. All disputes which cannot be settled amicably or through local arbitration or mediation should be submitted to the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS). While fully respecting the sovereignty and independence of the CAS, the constituents of the Olympic Movement may submit to the CAS proposals or contributions so that litigation may be simplified, accelerated and legal costs reduced.

44. All constituents of the Olympic Movement should further develop and embrace democratic and representative structures and procedures, making provisions in their statutes for the holding of regular general meetings and democratic elections for specified terms of office.

45. The IOC should assess its criteria for membership and procedures for admission in light of the development of sport and in order to strengthen and enhance its independence and autonomy.

46. In recognition and acknowledgement of IOC ownership of Olympic properties, the Olympic Movement should, at all times, contribute to the protection of the Olympic properties in particular the Olympic Symbol and Emblems.

47. The Olympic Movement should take appropriate measures to promote a closer relationship between sport, culture and education through the Olympic Games and the Youth Olympic Games and through supporting and encouraging activities such as International Olympic Academy, the National Olympic Academies, Olympic Museums and the Olympic Museum Network.

48. In order to improve the quality and levels of services, all constituents of the Olympic Movement should unite in their efforts to place a higher priority on supporting programmes for the training of sport administrators, coaches and entourage.

49. The Olympic Movement should look at ways to broaden the effectiveness of its revenue distribution models.
4: Olympism and Youth

The youth of the world, from whom the athletes of the future are drawn, are equally at the heart of the Olympic Movement. Effective communication of the fundamental principles and values of Olympism to young people is essential and its benefits are overwhelming. The Olympic Movement must strive to extend its remit and to increase its influence with young people across the world, using sport as a catalyst for their education and development. To ensure increased participation in physical activity and sport and to promote healthy lifestyles, governments should be encouraged to intensify their efforts to work with sports organisations and young people so that sports activities have a prominent place in schools, at all ages and at all levels.

50. To pursue the interests and aspirations of young people it is proposed the IOC design a comprehensive strategy to promote and respond to the needs and challenges faced by young people of all social milieux worldwide. In pursuit of this objective it is recommended that the IOC consider establishing the most appropriate forms of institutionalized and interactive dialogue.

51. Everyone involved in the Olympic Movement must become more aware of the fundamental importance of physical activity and sport for a healthy lifestyle, not least in the growing battle against obesity, and must reach out to parents and schools as part of a strategy to counter the rising inactivity of young people.

52. The Olympic Movement should promote the development and organisation of educational and sports programmes which are better adapted to the needs of young people, having first identified those needs.

53. The Youth Olympic Games are a unique opportunity in the history of the modern Olympic Movement to raise the bar worldwide in terms of the delivery of educational and sport programmes for all young people; and to determine future action by the IOC and the Olympic Movement with regard to youth educational and sports programmes.

54. The Olympic Movement should develop and implement programmes to explain to families and parents that helping their children choose a career path in competitive sport is highly worthwhile for their overall development and well-being.

55. The Olympic Movement should use the opportunity of the Youth Olympic Games to disseminate information on educational and cultural programmes and initiatives aimed at inspiring the world’s youth to ITs and all other stakeholders.
56. All constituents of the Olympic Movement should call on all governments to renovate, upgrade and construct more sporting facilities and allocate more time for the practice of sport in all schools, at all ages and at all levels; and they should take every opportunity possible to communicate this key requirement.

57. At the national level, sports clubs and local schools should cooperate more closely, by, for example, developing more sporting events and competitions for young people at all levels.

58. The Olympic Movement should develop and implement programmes which extend beyond the encouragement of young people in competitive sport and which enable the widespread practice of sport and recreation to become an embedded mantra in sports delivery programmes.
5: The Digital Revolution

Today’s global society is one of immediate communication. Advances in communications technology have ushered in a new digital age, which has revolutionized information-sharing and dissemination and which continues to transform our society into a global network. The Olympic Movement and its members must be fully cognisant of the impact of this development on all its activities. Future strategies and approaches must be planned in accordance with the massive new opportunities and changes brought about by the digital revolution.

59. A new strategy should be defined to enable the Olympic Movement to communicate more efficiently with its own membership and stakeholders as well as to allow for effective information dissemination, content diffusion and interactivity with the global population, in particular with the youth of the world. It should be an integrated strategy which includes the full coverage by all media and in all territories, of the Olympic Games, as well as the recognition of the new opportunities to communicate the fundamental principles and values of Olympism through all media.

60. The Olympic Movement must position itself to take full advantage of all opportunities offered by the digital revolution, information technology and new media so that the fundamental inherent values and objectives of the Olympic movement are reflected, while the rights of the IOC and the promotion of the Olympic Movement are protected.

61. In order to disseminate the values and vision of Olympism, the IOC and other stakeholders of the Olympic Movement should undertake a fundamental review of their communication strategies, taking into account the fast-moving developments in information technology and, more recently, the digital revolution.

62. The IOC and all other constituents of the Olympic Movement should explore all possibilities offered by the digital revolution; ensuring the broadest coverage of the Olympic Games, including the Youth Olympic Games, as well as of all other games and other major international sport competitions recognized by the IOC or to which the IOC has granted its patronage.

63. The IOC and all constituents of the Olympic Movement should give special attention to the opportunity provided by new technologies to gain increased penetration, exposure and greater accessibility worldwide.
64. The establishment of a Digital Task Force including the IOC and other stakeholders is recommended; with a mandate to optimise the development and exploitation of digital technology.

65. The IOC and constituents of the Olympic Movement must recognize that despite the emergence of a new digital age, the widely varying rates of adoption of these technologies are at a different pace in different regions and among different populations. As part of its obligation to ensure the widest possible global reach, it is therefore important that this is addressed and that appropriate technologies are used to ensure that all have access to the Olympic Games and Olympism in a legitimate and equitable manner and that the issues presented by the digital divide are addressed.

66. The Olympic Movement should strengthen its partnership with the computer game industry in order to explore opportunities to encourage physical activity and the practice and understanding of sport among the diverse population of computer game users.
CONCLUSIONS

The Congress invites the International Olympic Committee, all International Federations and National Olympic Committees and other stakeholders in their respective spheres of competence, to study and give due consideration to the recommendations of the Congress.

Copenhagen, October 5, 2009
APPENDIX 3

DRAFT 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition Format

1. **Fleet Racing:**
   1.1. An 11 race series (a 16 race series for the 49er). A Medal Race will be scheduled on the day designated for each class’s medal ceremony, even if the full schedule has not been completed by that day.
   1.2. The top 10 placed boats qualify for the Medal Race. All boats qualifying will be required to compete in the Medal Race.
   1.3. On the water umpiring will be used in the Medal Race.
   1.4. Redress will be limited in accordance with ISAF Medal Race redress policy.
   1.5. This format will apply to all test events and the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition (for all fleet racing classes).

2. **Scoring System for Fleet Racing**
   2.1. There will be one discard for the series score but the Medal Race shall not be discarded.
   2.2. Competitors will carry their series scores (i.e. total of race scores after discard) through to the Medal Race.
   2.3. Race scores for the Medal Race will be weighted; the points will be doubled (i.e. 2 points for first, 4 points for second, and so on) and added to the series score.
   2.4. Any series tie after the Medal Race will be broken in favour of the boat finishing higher in the Medal Race.
   2.5. If the Medal Race is not completed, medals will be awarded based on the series score for all the prior races.

3. **Match Racing**
   3.2. Final to be sailed on the designated medal ceremony day.
   3.3. On the water umpiring will be used for all races.
   3.4. This format will apply to the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition for the Match Racing event. The format may vary at other events depending on the number of teams entered.

4. **Target Times**
   4.1. Fleet Racing (opening series) Fleet Racing (medal race)
       - RS: X 30-35 minutes 20 minutes
       - 49er 30 minutes 30 minutes
       - Laser & Radial 60 minutes 30 minutes
       - 470 60 minutes 30 minutes
       - Finn 75 minutes 30 minutes
       - Star 75 minutes 30 minutes
   4.2. Match Racing
       Elliott 6m 16-18 minutes

Note to ISAF Committees: this paper is a guide to the format of the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition and does not go into full detail. In the event of any conflict, the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition Notice of Race, Sailing Instructions and related documents will prevail.
Appendix 4

International Sailing Federation
DRAFT Qualification System for the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition

1. **Events**

   **Men's Events**
   - Windsurfer
   - One Person Dinghy
   - One Person Dinghy (Heavyweight)
   - Two Person Dinghy
   - Two Person Dinghy (High Performance)
   - Keelboat

   **Women's Events**
   - Windsurfer
   - One Person Dinghy
   - Two Person Dinghy
   - Keelboat (Match)

   **Class**
   - RS: X Men
   - Laser
   - Finn
   - 470 Men
   - 49er
   - Star
   - Elliott 6m

2. **Athlete / NOC Quota**

   Athlete Quota: 237 men’s places (62%)
   143 women’s places (38%)
   Total of 380 athletes

   Maximum per NOC: 1 entry in each of the 10 Olympic Events

3. **Qualification System**

   **Allocation of boats / athletes by Event**
   The maximum number of entries ('entry quota') for each of the 10 Olympic Events will be as per the table below. The entry quota may change as part of the reallocation process, except that Women's Keelboat (Match) shall always have 12 entries.

   **Class**
   - RS: X Men
   - RS: X Women
   - Laser
   - Laser Radial
   - Finn
   - 470 Men
   - 470 Women
   - 49er
   - Star
   - Elliott 6m

   **Host NOC**
   - 1
   - 1
   - 1
   - 1
   - 1
   - 1
   - 1
   - 1
   - 1

   **Other NOC**
   - 37
   - 27
   - 47
   - 38
   - 24
   - 26
   - 19
   - 19
   - 11

   **Entry Quota**
   - 38
   - 28
   - 48
   - 39
   - 25
   - 27
   - 20
   - 20
   - 12

   **Athletes**
   - x1 = 38
   - x1 = 28
   - x1 = 48
   - x1 = 39
   - x1 = 25
   - x2 = 54
   - x2 = 40
   - x2 = 40
   - x2 = 32
   - x3 = 36
Qualification System in detail

NOCs which have respective national authorities in good standing with ISAF at the time of the qualifying regattas, qualify for 1 entry (of their choice) in an Olympic Event as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Host NOC</th>
<th>2011 ISAF Worlds</th>
<th>2012 Worlds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RS: X Men</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS: X Women</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Radial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470 Men</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470 Women</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49er</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliott 6m</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) 2011 ISAF Sailing World Championships (2011 ISAF Worlds)
Approximately 75%* of each Event's entry quota shall be qualified from those Classes’ 2011 ISAF Worlds.

(b) 2012 World Championships (2012 Worlds)
Approximately 25%* of each Event's entry quota shall be qualified from those Classes' 2012 Worlds sanctioned by ISAF, to finish by 1 June 2012 at the latest. Those NOCs already qualified from the previous qualifying regattas shall not be considered. The 2012 Women’s Keelboat (Match) qualifying regatta will be sanctioned by ISAF but does not need to be a World Championship.

(c) Host Nation
The host nation shall directly qualify 1 entry in each Event.

(d) Tripartite Commission (IOC – ANOC – ISAF) Invitation Places
Tripartite Places process (allocated to nations that apply directly to IOC) will be defined in a follow up meeting with the IOC.

* The number of qualifying NOCs based on the percentages in (a) and (b) above, is rounded off as stated in the ‘Qualification System in detail’ table above.

4. Invalid Qualification Regatta

A qualification regatta will be considered invalid for an Olympic Event if the required number of races is not completed for that Class in accordance with ISAF rules in order to produce an official result.

(e) If a 2011 qualification regatta is invalid for an Olympic Event then its entry quota will be allocated in the 2012 qualification regatta.

(f) If the 2012 qualification regatta is invalid for an Olympic Event then its entry quota will be allocated to the 2011 qualification regatta retroactively.

(g) If both qualification regattas are invalid for an Olympic Event by 1 June 2012 then the ISAF Qualification Committee will select all entry positions for that Event.

5. Minimum Athlete Eligibility Standards

To be eligible for selection by NOCs to fill qualification places, the athlete must have appeared on an official ISAF World Ranking List between 1 January 2011 and 1 July 2012.
6. **Qualification Timeline**

- **03-18 December 2011**  
  ISAF Sailing World Championships, Perth (AUS)
- **01 January – 01 June 2012**  
  Olympic Class World Championships
- **01 June 2012**  
  NOCs to confirm use of qualification places
- **30 June 2012**  
  ISAF to confirm reallocation of unused quota places
- **July 2012**  
  Confirmation of Tripartite Commission Invitational Places
- **July 2012**  
  Deadline for LOCOG to receive entry forms submitted by NOCs

*** *** ***

Further dates to be confirmed

7. **Dates / Process of Confirmation of Places**

ISAF will inform National Associations/NOCs of Olympic qualification places following each qualification regatta. NOCs must confirm to ISAF the use of all qualification places by 01 June 2012. After this date the unconfirmed quota places will be allocated by the ISAF Qualification Committee in accordance with the process noted below, with ISAF confirming the reallocation of unused places by 30 June 2012.

8. **Reallocation of Unused Quota Places**

Unused Quota Places (UQPs) may be taken from any of the Olympic Events except for Women's Keelboat (Match). Any UQPs after 14 June 2012 will be reallocated by the ISAF Qualification Committee. They will first be allocated to eligible Tripartite Commission Invitation Place requests. The remaining places will then be allocated according to the process below which ensures they will first be allocated to Nations that would not otherwise be represented, and to continents that were under-represented at the previous Olympics.

For Women's Keelboat (Match), UQPs will be separately allocated on the basis of the finishing order at the 2012 Women's Match Racing Qualifying Regatta to preserve a fleet size of 12.

**Stage 1: UQP Applications Received by ISAF**

Nations seeking UQPs shall make an application to ISAF by no later than 1 June 2012, specifying the Event or Events in which UQPs are being sought. The application shall include confirmation from the relevant NOC that the UQP(s) will be taken up if offered. Applications may be made for more than one Event, noting that places will initially be offered in the four Events listed below.

ISAF will produce an “Unused Quota Places Re-allocation List” (UQPRL) following the second of the two Olympic Qualification Regattas (OQRs). This list will be based on the finishing order of the second of the two OQRs. The UQPRL will exclude Nations that have qualified through the OQRs.

**Stage 2: Allocation of UQPs to Nations Not Otherwise Represented at the Olympic Sailing Competition**

UQPs will first be offered to Nations that are not already represented in any other Events at the Olympic Sailing Competition, following the OQRs. They will initially be allocated to Nations in continents that were under-represented at the previous Olympic Sailing Competition when compared with the number of MNAs in that IOC Continental grouping (i.e. Africa and Oceania).

UQPs will first be allocated in the following Events:

- Men’s Windsurfer
- Women’s Windsurfer
- Men’s One Person Dinghy
- Women’s One Person Dinghy

UQPs will be allocated to the highest placed eligible Nations in the UQPRL. The first place will be allocated in the Men’s Windsurfer Event, followed by the Women’s Windsurfer Event and so on, until all available UQPs have been allocated. Once a UQP has been allocated to a Nation in an Event, that Nation will be deemed to be represented in the Event.

The order of allocation of UQPs by Event may be changed in order to maintain the Men and Women athlete quota set out in Point 2.
If places are still available after UQPs have been allocated to the above 4 Events, UQPs will then be allocated using the same process in the remaining 5 Events:

- Men’s One Person Dinghy (Heavy)
- Men’s Two Person Dinghy
- Women’s Two Person Dinghy
- Men’s Two Person Dinghy (High Performance)
- Men’s Keelboat

When Stage 2 has been completed for nations from Africa and Oceania, then, if UQPs still remain, it will be repeated for nations from other continents.

Stage 3: Allocation of Remaining UQPs

If places are still available after Stage 2:

- UQPs will be allocated to Nations represented in one other event in accordance with the Stage 2 process;
- UQPs will be allocated to Nations represented in two other events in accordance with the Stage 2 process;

and so on, until all UQPs have been allocated.

9. Nationality

An athlete may qualify an NOC for the 2012 Olympic Games, only when he/she is eligible to compete for that NOC in the 2012 Olympic Games.

Once an athlete has qualified an NOC (in any class), he/she may not thereafter qualify another NOC, irrespective of any change in his/her nationality, as defined by the IOC, or in the case of multiple nationality.

Nationality rules and requirements apply to all members of the crew.

No athlete may change his/her eligibility to sail for a nation within one year of the date of the opening of the Olympic Games.

Athlete nationality for the Olympic Games is determined in accordance with the specifications of the Olympic Charter.

Note to ISAF Committees: 51% of ISAF MNAs competed at Qingdao 2008. By IOC continent, this percentage was: Africa 15%; Oceania 17%; Asia and America both 52%; Europe 70%.

The detailed qualification systems are due to be approved by the IOC Executive Board in April 2010. In order to respect this deadline, the IOC has asked all IFs to provide a first draft by Monday 9 November 2009.